Agenda
September 15, 2015
Board Room
1:00 P.M.

I. Call to Order

II. Approval of Minutes: September 1, 2015

III. President’s Report
   A. AJ Graduation
   B. BP/AP Update
   C. BoT Update
   D. Mission Statement
   E. Accreditation Update
   F. CMS
   G. CBT

IV. Unfinished Business: None

V. New Business:
   A. Program Review: AS purview falls under article #9 under the 10 + 1 mandates of Title V (see Addendum A)
   
   B. Executive Motion:
      Whereas program review processes and under the purview of the Academic Senate as specified in AB 1725 and Ed code, and

      Whereas changes to the program review process require mutual agreement with the District, and

      Whereas the Academic Senate seeks to enhance continued improvement in academic offerings, and

      Whereas the Academic Senate seeks to ensure that all areas of the College effectively serve the mission of Rio Hondo College,

      Therefore, be it resolved, that the Academic Senate adopts and supports the changes to the Program Review process as proposed by the Program Review Committee (sic) and urges all areas to follow our lead in complying with the said changes.

VI. Committee Reports
   • Academic Rank
   • IEC
   • Safety
   • Bookstore
   • ITC
   • SLOs
   • Curriculum
   • Distance Education
   • Student Equity
   • FLEX/Staff Development
   • Program Review
   • Other
VII. Announcements

VIII. Public Comment – Persons wishing to make comments are allowed three minutes per topic. Pursuant to the Brown Act, the Senate cannot discuss or take action on items not listed on the agenda. Matters brought before the Senate that are not on the agenda may, at the Senate’s discretion, be referred to the Senate Executive Committee or be placed on the next agenda.

IX. Adjournment
Addendum A

Program Review Proposal

Following the annual program review cycle and based on the peer review, each document will be given one of three status conditions: accepted as written, requested to make minor revisions, or requested to make major revision. Suggestions for improvement will be based on the program review peer review and detailed in the Executive Summary.

Minor Revision: This indicates the document needs surface-level attention, such as reorganization, elaboration, and/or stylistic attention. The document is basically sound. Revision will be submitted before the Institutional Planning Retreat in the spring. The Program Review co-chairs will review the re-submitted document and either accept the revision or suggest further revision.

Major Revision: This indicates the document and/or the program needs serious reconsideration. This takes time and should include all participants in the program. Major revisions will be submitted by the program review deadline the following year, and the revised program review will serve as the program plan for that year. The Program Review co-chairs will review the re-submitted document. If necessary, the program will be asked to undergo another formal program review the following year to ensure improvement. This second formal program review will not supplant the regular and established six-year program review cycle.