Criteria for Review of Course-Level SLOs

The series of questions below will be used in the evaluation of all course-level SLOs.

For each SLO listed for the course:	
	Is the statement actually an outcome, or is it something else (course description, description of
	a particular assignment or an assessment tool, etc.)?
	Is the SLO measurable?
	Can the SLO be assessed through multiple assessment methods? (Note: it is possible that some
	outcomes may only be assessed by one method, particularly in vocational or performance-
	related areas)
	Is the SLO concise and well-written?
	Does each outcome employ verbs or verb phrases which are unambiguous as to the skills or
	traits being evaluated? ("Demonstrate knowledge" is an example
For each active SLO:	
	Are the major skill(s) addressed in the rubric split into multiple proficiency standards (criteria for
	evaluation) on the rubric?
	Do the benchmarks seem to be set at a reasonable level for each standard?
	Is enough information provided in the rubric to ensure that assessment of the SLO is
	unambiguous for all faculty members?
Considering all SLOs for a given course as a whole:	
	Do the SLOs provide a reasonably broad coverage of the course?
	Do at least half of the SLOs address higher-level thinking skills (as per Bloom's Taxonomy)?
	Are enough SLOs designated as active? See attached guidelines.
	If the course is a G.E. course, is it linked with at least one G.E. Outcome?
	o If so, has the rubric for that outcome been adjusted at the course-level in order to make

assessment unambiguous? This only needs to be checked for active SLOs.