Agenda
May 5, 2020
Location: Zoom
1:00 PM

I. Call to Order

II. Approval of Minutes: April 21, 2020

III. Public Comment – Persons wishing to address the Academic Senate on any item on the agenda or comment on any other matter are allowed three minutes per topic. Pursuant to the Brown Act, the Academic Senate cannot discuss or take action on items not listed on the agenda. Matters brought before the Academic Senate that are not on the agenda may, at the Senate’s discretion, be referred to the Senate Executive Council or placed on the next agenda.

IV. President’s Report
   A. Distinguished Faculty Award Recipient
   B. Summer Courses
   C. Fall Semester
   D. Budget Taskforce

V. Vice Presidents’ Reports
   A. 1st Vice President
   B. 2nd Vice President

VI. Unfinished Business - none

VII. New Business
   A. Excused Withdrawals
      Proposed motion: For the Summer 2020 term, the Academic Senate recommends that all withdrawals be converted to “Excused Withdrawals.” We recommend that, when financially feasible for the district, students will receive an “Excused Withdrawal” with a refund. Furthermore, the deadline for an EW with a refund be August 21, 2020.
   B. P/NP
      Executive Motion: For Summer 2020, the limitation of allowing one P/NP course per semester will be suspended.
      Proposed Motion: For Summer 2020, the deadline for P/NP be August 21, 2020.
   C. Academic Rank BP/AP (Addendum A)
   D. Resolution: Guidance for Summer 2020 Remote Instruction (Addendum B)
   E. Outcomes Recommendations
      i. Outcomes rubric (Addendum C)
      ii. Outcomes Feedback Work Group
      iii. Flex suggestion
   F. Planning Calendar (Addendum D and Addendum E)
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### VIII. Committee Reports (Addendum F)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senate</th>
<th>Planning and Fiscal Council</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Academic Rank</td>
<td>• Institutional Effectiveness (IEC)</td>
<td>• Basic Skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Curriculum</td>
<td>• Program Review</td>
<td>• Bookstore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Guided Pathways Steering (GPS)</td>
<td>• Safety</td>
<td>• Enterprise Systems Advisory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Instructional Technology Practices (ITP)</td>
<td>• Policy and Procedure Council (PPC)</td>
<td>• Online Education Initiative (OEI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Distance Education (DE)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Student Equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Open Educational Resources (OER)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Student Success and Support Program (SSSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff Development/Flex</td>
<td></td>
<td>• ASCCC Open Educational Resources Initiative (OERI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IX. Announcements

### X. Adjournment
Addendum A – Drafts of AP/BP 4245:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIO HONDO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT</th>
<th>Administrative Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic rank procedure</td>
<td>AP No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. The Academic Rank Committee shall consist of five members nominated for two-year terms by the Academic Senate President. The Academic Rank Committee shall select a chair annually.

II. Applications for advancement in academic rank, along with all pertinent supporting data, shall be sent to the Academic Rank Committee by November 15 of the academic year faculty members wish to be considered for advancement. The Academic Rank Committee shall forward the applications to Human Resources for verification that applicants have met the minimum requirements and are qualified for the academic rank for which they applied. The Academic Rank Committee will submit the verified degrees, units, and employment history to the President of the Academic Senate to be put on the Academic Senate agenda for a vote.

III. A simple majority vote is required for approval of academic rank for faculty applying for advancement.

IV. With the affirmation vote and signatures of the President of the Academic Senate and Chairperson of the Academic Rank Committee, the applications will be sent to the Superintendent/President. With the consent of the Superintendent/President, the applications will be submitted to the Board of Trustees for its consideration and action. Any applicants who have objections concerning the consideration of an application, can ask the President of the Senate to be put on the agenda for the next regular scheduled meeting to present their cases. The merit of the cases shall be decided by a simple majority vote of the Senators present at the regularly scheduled meeting.

V. Reference/Source
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Addendum A – Drafts of AP/BP 4245 cont’:

RIO HONDO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Board Policy ACADEMIC RANK POLICY BP No. 4245

Board Adopted: 7/1/65; Rev. 4/24/74; 3/5/75; 12/7/77; 1/10/79; 10/12/88; 6/8/11; 06/13/18

I. Criteria Governing Academic Rank
   a. The recommendation of the faculty member for Academic Rank should be based upon comprehensive study of the individual's achievements during the years preceding the recommendation. Academic rank applications are submitted by the faculty members after they have met the minimum requirements of each step. Academic rank shall not determine column or step advancement for employee remuneration.
   b. Academic rank applications are submitted by the faculty members after they have met the minimum requirements of each step. Academic rank shall not determine column or step advancement for employee remuneration.
   c. Human Resources shall provide all supporting documentation and their recommendation to the Academic Rank Committee upon request of a faculty member.
   d. To be eligible for academic rank, a faculty member must be a member of the Rio Hondo College staff and submit an application form.
   e. All faculty members including those whose function is to work in an educational capacity outside of the conventional classroom are eligible for Academic Rank. shall be granted academic rank in the area of their professional service. Such members include, but shall not be limited to counselors, librarians, coaches, school nurses, and members of student health services.
   f. The Academic Rank Committee shall consist of five members nominated for two-year terms by the Academic Senate President and confirmed by a majority vote of the Senate at a regularly scheduled meeting.

IV. Significant Prominence (reordered)
   a. Evidence of “significant prominence” in a field, major contributions to society, or rank at another college, may substitute as criteria for consideration and appointment to academic rank.
   b. The following criteria shall be used for significant prominence
      i. Professional Achievement
         1. Academic and scholarly contributions to the faculty member's profession and field
         2. Creation, exhibition, performance, or publication in the arts or literature
         3. Presentations before meetings of scholarly and professional societies
Academic Senate 2019-20

4. Receipt of fellowships or other subsidies for pursuit of research or study in the faculty member’s field

5. Participation in the activities of scholarly or professional societies beyond mere membership

ii. Contributions to the College

1. Participation in professional activities, including active membership in professional societies and attendance at scholarly meetings

2. Contributing to academic governance such as membership participation in the activities of department, school and system committees, and service in administrative capacities above and beyond contractual and paid services

3. Producing and directing events in the performing arts and/or coaching or covering athletic events for the college

4. Engaging in community service and activity which may draw favorable attention to the faculty member and to the college

5. Delivering speeches, conducting colloquia, or otherwise conveying information about the faculty member's profession and field to community groups

6. Chairing or directing committee work related to the CTE area not required in contract duties

III. Teaching Experience

a. Upon approval of the Academic Rank Committee, two years of full-time credentialed teaching other than college level shall be the equivalent of one year of full-time college teaching with fractions of more than half to be considered as one year.

b. All terms of teaching (including summer and intersession) will be counted for part-time faculty for the purposes of establishing rank. [moved to Section IV.]

cb. For full-time faculty, no more than one year of service will be counted for each academic year for the purposes of establishing rank (e.g., a faculty member teaching 150% 100% load and 50% overload over two successive academic years will receive credit for two years of service at Rio Hondo College, not three years). For part-time faculty, no more than 120% load will be counted for each academic year for the purposes of establishing rank.

IV. Additional Criteria Governing Part-Time Academic Rank

a. All terms of teaching (including summer and intersession) will be counted for part-time faculty for the purposes of establishing academic rank.

b. Significant Prominence will be applicable to part-time faculty regardless of the rank they apply for.
c. The rank of ASSISTANT PROFESSOR and ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR shall be retained if a part-time faculty member is hired into a full-time tenure track position at Rio Hondo College.

d. One year of full-time teaching credit for an adjunct faculty member shall consist of 120% load. In addition to earning 120% load in one academic year, it may be attained by adding load from previous semesters. However, the maximum credit an adjunct faculty member can earn in one year is 120%. If a part-time faculty member earns less than 120% load in an academic year, the load they earn will be carried over. If a part-time faculty member earns more than 120% in an academic year, the overage will not carry over to the next year.

IV. Minimum Criteria for Academic Rank for Full-Time Faculty

a. The title of faculty members shall be INSTRUCTOR, unless their preparation and previous experience entitle them to higher rank under this policy and Administrative Procedure 4245.

b. The requirement for the rank of ASSISTANT PROFESSOR shall be the equivalent of at least three years of full-time teaching and/or student services at Rio Hondo College and one of the following:

i. An earned Master’s or Doctorate degree

ii. An earned Bachelor’s degree and a Community College Instructor credential in a vocational area in lieu of a Master’s Degree.

iii. Significant prominence in the field of expertise as determined by each division.

iv. Two years non-academic work experience as determined by each division.

c. The requirements for rank of ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR shall be the equivalent of at least five years of full-time college teaching and/or student services, including the equivalent of three years at Rio Hondo College, and one of the following:

i. Earned Doctorate degree

ii. Forty post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units including an earned Master's Degree. All units will be accepted except lower division and continuing education units, unless the candidate had received prior approval from the Units Evaluation Committee, as per Section 3.3.7.4 of the Rio Hondo College Faculty Collective Bargaining Agreement.

iii. An earned Bachelor's Degree and a Community College Instructor Credential in a vocational area in lieu of a Master's Degree, plus ten post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units. All units will be accepted except lower division and continuing education units, unless the candidate had received prior approval from the Units Evaluation Committee, as per Section 3.3.7.4 of the Rio Hondo College Faculty Association Collective Bargaining Agreement.

iv. Significant prominence in the field of expertise as determined by each division.

v. Three years non-academic work experience as determined by each division.
d. The requirements for the rank of PROFESSOR shall be the equivalent of at least ten years of full-time college teaching and/or student services, including the equivalent of seven years at Rio Hondo College and one of the following:

i. An earned Doctorate degree

ii. Fifty post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units including an earned Master's degree. All units will be accepted except lower division and continuing education units, unless the candidate had received prior approval from the Units Evaluation Committee, as per Section 3.3.7.4 of the Rio Hondo College Faculty Collective Association Collective Bargaining Agreement.

iii. earned Bachelor's Degree and a Community College Instructor Credential in a vocational area in lieu of a Master’s Degree, plus twenty post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units.

iv. Significant prominence in the field of expertise as determined by each division.

v. Five years non-academic work experience as determined by each division.

VI. Minimum Criteria for Part-time Academic Rank

a. The title of faculty members shall be INSTRUCTOR, unless their preparation and previous experience entitle them to higher rank under this policy and Administrative Procedure 4245.

b. The requirement for the rank of ASSISTANT PROFESSOR shall be the equivalent of at least three years of full-time college-level teaching and/or student services (50% of part-time teaching load is equivalent to one year of full-time college teaching for purposes of academic rank) AND one of the following:

i. An earned Master’s or Doctorate degree

ii. An earned Bachelor’s degree and a Community College Instructor credential in a vocational area in lieu of a Master’s Degree.

iii. Significant prominence in the field of expertise as determined by each division.

iv. Two years non-academic work experience as determined by each division.

c. The requirements for rank of ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR shall be the equivalent of at least seven years of full-time college teaching and/or student services (60% of part-time teaching load is equivalent to one year of full-time college teaching for purposes of academic rank) AND including the equivalent of four years at Rio Hondo College, and one of the following:

i. Earned Doctorate degree

ii. Forty post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units including an earned Master’s Degree. All units will be accepted except lower division and continuing education units, unless the candidate had received prior
approval from the Units Evaluation Committee, as per Section 3.3.7.4 of the Rio Hondo College Faculty Collective Bargaining Agreement.

iii. An earned Bachelor's Degree and a Community College Instructor Credential in a vocational area in lieu of a Master's Degree, plus ten post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units. All units will be accepted except lower division and continuing education units, unless the candidate had received prior approval from the Units Evaluation Committee, as per Section 3.3.7.4 of the Rio Hondo College Faculty Association Collective Bargaining Agreement.

iv. Significant prominence in the field of expertise as determined by each division.

v. Three years non-academic work experience as determined by each division.

d. The requirements for the rank of PROFESSOR shall be the equivalent of at least twelve years of full-time college teaching and/or student services (60% of part-time teaching load is equivalent to one year of full-time college teaching for purposes of academic rank) including the equivalent of eight years at Rio Hondo College and one of the following:

i. An earned Doctorate degree

ii. Fifty post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units including an earned Master's degree. All units will be accepted except lower division and continuing education units, unless the candidate had received prior approval from the Units Evaluation Committee, as per Section 3.3.7.4 of the Rio Hondo College Faculty Collective Association Collective Bargaining Agreement.

iii. earned Bachelor's Degree and a Community College Instructor Credential in a vocational area in lieu of a Master’s Degree, plus twenty post-baccalaureate upper division or higher numbered semester units.

iv. Significant prominence in the field of expertise as determined by each division.

v. Five years non-academic work experience as determined by each division.
Resolution: Guidance for Summer 2020 Remote Instruction

Whereas, Students may have signed up for a class in face-to-face modality, only to have that class converted to an online environment;

Whereas, Students may be receiving the message that the class time in the schedule of classes does not matter now that we are moving to remote instruction; and

Whereas, In an online environment, issues such as the number of Internet-connected devices in the household, a reliable Internet source with sufficient bandwidth become magnified;

Resolved, The Academic Senate implores faculty to engage in equity-minded practices that take into account the varying circumstances students are experiencing during the transition to remote instruction; and

Resolved, The Academic Senate expects faculty to adjust their attendance policies so as to allow students who cannot attend a class synchronously during this time to attend asynchronously.
### Course-Level Outcomes (CLOs) Rubric

Outcomes statements must be measurable and specific. They are learning-centered rather than teaching-centered and are expressed in active verbs such as those found in Bloom’s Taxonomy. There is at least one outcome per unit of instruction, but generally no more than 3-5 outcomes for 3-unit courses is required. **Examples provided on the following page.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DOES NOT MEET STANDARDS OF PRACTICE</th>
<th>MEETS STANDARDS OF PRACTICE</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose</strong></td>
<td>Some or all outcomes and objectives are identical.</td>
<td>All outcomes and objectives are distinct from one another.</td>
<td>All outcomes demonstrate logical progression and relationship between content/activities, objectives, and outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some or all outcomes demonstrate a lack of logical progression and relationship between content/activities, objectives, and outcomes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement</strong></td>
<td>Some or all outcomes are not written as measurable, specific and student-centered statements nor do they utilize Bloom’s Taxonomy.</td>
<td>All outcomes are written as measurable, specific, and student-centered statements and utilize Bloom’s Taxonomy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alignment to Program-Level Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>Some or all course-level outcomes are not aligned with program-level outcomes.</td>
<td>All course-level outcomes are aligned with program-level outcomes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Conventions**| Some or all outcomes contain errors in writing, grammar, spelling, and punctuation.                | All outcomes are free from errors in writing, grammar, spelling, and punctuation.       | All outcomes are written clearly and with detail to ensure understanding.
|                | Some or all outcomes are not written clearly, and details are missing.                             |                                                                                        |                                                                         |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor: Too general and hard to measure.</th>
<th>Better: Too general and difficult to measure.</th>
<th>Best: Specific and Measurable.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students will appreciate dance.</td>
<td>Students will appreciate dance in a live or recorded concert.</td>
<td>Given a live or recorded dance concert, students will successfully analyze the salient components of the work including the categories of body, effort, space, and shape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students will understand the use of correct grammar and literary devices.</td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the use of correct grammar and various literary devices.</td>
<td>Given research topics, students will demonstrate the use of correct grammar and various literary devices in creating a research paper.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students will list the benefits of exercise science.</td>
<td>Students will explain the purpose of exercise as a stress reduction tool.</td>
<td>Given the health history of a fictitious person, students will devise a personalized exercise plan focused on the reduction of stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students will understand the scientific method.</td>
<td>Students will apply the scientific method in problem solving.</td>
<td>Presented a real-life problem, students will design a grounded research study using the scientific method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student will understand various essay types.</td>
<td>Student will write in various essay styles, such as descriptive and persuasive.</td>
<td>Given one topic, students will demonstrate their ability to distinguish between and compose three different style essays: Descriptive, process, and persuasive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### How Are Outcomes Different From Objectives?

Outcomes are typically broader and may be themed clusters of course objectives identified in the course outline of record (COR). Some practitioners believe outcomes are more student-centered than objectives, whereas objectives are more teacher-centered.

**Objectives:** A course objective describes what a faculty member will cover in a course. Objectives are generally less broad than goals, and broader than student learning outcomes. Analogy: Objectives are like the ingredients and the recipe; outcomes are the final product—the cake.
Examples of objectives:

- Students will gain an understanding of the origins of art history.
- Students will read and analyze seminal works in twentieth-century American literature.
- Students will study the major regulatory agencies.

**Outcomes:** An outcome is a detailed description of what students must be able to do at the conclusion of a course. The best outcomes will include a description of the conditions (i.e., when given x, the student will be able to y), and the acceptable performance level.

Examples of outcomes:

- When given a major decision by a governmental leader, students will be able to identify the major factors that the leader had to consider and discuss why the action was taken and what apparent trade-offs were made. (Government)
- Demonstrate graphically and explain how a change in expectation will affect the loanable funds market. (Economics)
- On hearing musical selections, students will be able to identify those that are examples of chamber music and be able to identify form, texture, and makeup of the ensemble. (Music)
- When shown a print, students will be able to identify whether it is a woodcut, an etching, or a lithograph, and list the characteristics on which this identification is based. (Art)
- When given a case study, students will be able to identify whether it describes a case of schizophrenia, and if it does, which of the following schizophrenic reactions are involved: hebephrenic, catatonic, or paranoid. (Psychology)
- Based on reading, case studies, or personal experience, identify those activities that are most likely to distinguish effective, well-managed technology development programs from ineffective programs. (Management)
- When given two events, students will be able to determine whether they are independent or whether there is a relationship between them. On the basis of this determination, students will be able to select and use the appropriate rules of conditional probability to determine the probability that a certain event will occur. (Statistics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Words Open to Many Interpretations</th>
<th>Words Open to Fewer Interpretations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To know</td>
<td>To write</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To understand</td>
<td>To recite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To really understand</td>
<td>To identify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To appreciate</td>
<td>To sort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To full appreciate</td>
<td>To solve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To grasp the significance of</td>
<td>To construct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To enjoy</td>
<td>To compare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To believe</td>
<td>To contrast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Addendum D – 2020-2021/2021-2022 Planning Calendar:**

**RIO HONDO COLLEGE**  
**2020-2021/2021-2022**  
**INTEGRATED PLANNING, PROGRAM REVIEW, OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION CALENDAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario A</th>
<th>Scenario B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DATE</strong></td>
<td><strong>ACTIVITY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July/August 2020</td>
<td>State budget changes incorporated into proposed budget assumptions for 20-21; 20-21 resource allocation decisions finalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 14, 2020</td>
<td>Fall Flex: Outcomes Dialogue Morning Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2020</td>
<td>Virtual review of criteria to be used for 21-22 to prioritize all staffing needs due 8/29/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td>Final Budget 20-21 presented to Board of Trustees; Presentation to PFC and campus-wide budget forums to include results of final allocations for 20-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td>Memo sent to Unit Managers outlining criteria for staffing prioritization (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September – October 2020</td>
<td>Unit Plans with all resource needs ranked within Unit due, submitted and locked via TaskStream (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2020</td>
<td>Area Plans with all resource needs ranked within Area due, submitted, and locked via TaskStream (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>Final Area Rankings due (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>Staffing packets distributed to Staffing Committee Members (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>Staffing committees meet to review requests and create final position rankings (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>PFC receives recommendation from Staffing Committee (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>PFC makes recommendation to President (21-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2020</td>
<td>Board agenda item; Prepared by the Office of Human Resources for the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Presented to Outcomes Committee April 11, 2020  
Revised post Outcomes Committee April 24, 2020  
Revised post leadership call April 24, 2020*
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November/December 2020</td>
<td>Divisions begin to solicit faculty members for potential hiring committees, based on draft BOT agenda item. (21-22)</td>
<td>January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2021</td>
<td>Governor's January Budget released and reviewed by Finance and Business; F &amp; B develops recommendation for PFC regarding tentative budget priorities and available funds for Strategic Plan activities for the coming year (21-22)</td>
<td>January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2021</td>
<td>Facility, technology, equipment, and budget augmentation resource requests pulled from TaskStream; (Staffing Committee process for Scenario B begins here); (21-22)</td>
<td>January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2021</td>
<td>Facility, technology, equipment, and budget augmentation resource requests are sent to committee chairs; Staffing requests (Scenario B) sent to committee chairs. (21-22)</td>
<td>January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2021</td>
<td>Spring Flex Outcomes Dialogue Morning Session</td>
<td>January 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2021</td>
<td>Facility, technology, equipment and budget augmentation committees complete their rankings and submit to IRP. (21-22)</td>
<td>February 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2021</td>
<td>PFC meets and considers recommendation from facility, technology, equipment and budget augmentation committees; Scenario B staffing sent to IRP. (21-22)</td>
<td>March 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2021</td>
<td>PFC makes a recommendation to the President on facility, technology, equipment and budget augmentation requests; Staffing recommendation Scenario B recommended to President. (21-22)</td>
<td>March 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 29 – April 2, 2021</td>
<td>Spring Break</td>
<td>March 29 – April 2, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 23, 2021</td>
<td>All resource requests presented at Institutional Planning Retreat. REPLACED WITH SEPTEMBER BUDGET FORUMS</td>
<td>April 23, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Board agenda item; Prepared by the Office of Human Resources for the May ’21 BOT Meeting. (21-22)</td>
<td>April/May 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divisions begin to solicit faculty members for potential hiring committees, based on draft BOT agenda item. (21-22)</td>
<td>April/May 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 2021</td>
<td>Tentative budget 21-22 presented during Board Study Session</td>
<td>May 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2021</td>
<td>Tentative 21-22 budget presented to the Board for approval; Final PON calculation</td>
<td>June 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July/August</td>
<td>State budget changes incorporated into proposed budget assumptions for 21-22; 21-22 resource allocation decisions finalized</td>
<td>July/August</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Under Scenario A requests for staff must be included in the Fall 2020 program review and/or program plan, which must be submitted and locked in TaskStream no later than September 25, 2020. Scenario B requires that requests for staff be included in the Fall 2020 program review/plan and submitted and locked in TaskStream no later than October 9, 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Affairs</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Research and Planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Fiscal Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs, Units, Areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Addendum E – Staffing Calendar Changes:

Changes from the previous year:

- Program Plans due in 6 weeks instead of 8 weeks
- Unit plans due in 3 weeks instead of 4 weeks
- Area plans due in 2 weeks instead of 3 weeks

- Staffing committee meets in November instead of March; still will have two meetings, though PFC reviews recommendations in November instead of March/April

- Faculty staffing recommendations will not go to the Planning Retreat

Justification for changes:

- Plans take a year currently and aren't implemented for another 2-3 semesters. For example, a plan written in Fall 19 semester may not result in a new hire starting until Spring 21 or Fall 21

- Admin believes that Plans should occur for a year, and the next year they should be implemented, which means that the staffing should be in place to see how the goals of that hire can be achieved
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Addendum F - Committee Reports:

Academic Rank:
The Academic Rank Committee has been working diligently to create an inclusive pathway for part-time faculty to earn academic rank due the discovery of non-attainment of rank for part-time faculty members after multiple years of teaching at RHC. Changes to AP4245 and BP4245 are recommended.

Curriculum: no report

Guided Pathways:
Guided Pathways Steering Committee
Report to PFC and Senate 4/26/2020

- Student Success Data Dashboard, automated from Banner data, being developed by Sheila Xiao and Ed Wu will generate lists of students filterable by Area of Interest, number of units completed/in progress, math and/or English completion.
- Pathways website still under development. http://pathways.riohondo.edu
  - 4 classified staff helping with data entry for the sample educational plans.
  - Guided Pathways chairs, Angelica Martinez and Viviana Villanueva, and Articulation Officer, Elizabeth Ramirez, vetting all educational plans as they go up on the site.
  - Education Area of Interest workgroup developing teaching pathways information for website.
- Student Services Software Group
  - Researching Career Coach integration into our website for career exploration, jobs data and onboarding for Areas of Interest.
  - Researching CRM Advise as potential replacement for Starfish.
- Guided Pathways tri-chairs working with Alice Mecom to build upon the ABC Virtual Support (Academics, Basic Needs, Community) outreach and calling. How can we best support our students remotely, especially this summer when so few counselors are available? A special workgroup met Friday, 4/24, to discuss. Recommendations forthcoming.

Instructional Technology - no report

Distance Education:
A. $300 stipends available to get certified to teach online or to renew certification for those who certified in 2015.
B. All courses now have a Canvas shells assigned to them for summer and fall. All summer courses will be online.
C. Labster application and the Wellness Central mental health apps can now be added to the course menus through Canvas Navigation. Labster is useful for science labs but is not available for phones or tablets and does have some accessibility concerns. Wellness Central is a great resource for our students.
having anxiety or other mental health concerns. Please activate the app on your course shells.

D. We are looking for Point of Contact faculty in each division to help other faculty who need help working with Canvas and to act as online teaching mentors. Admin has determined that we can offer stipends of up to $500 for hours to work as a division mentor. Please contact Jill Pfeiffer if you are interested. POCR Certification is required jpfeiffer@riohondo.edu

E. Seasoned veteran online instructors are encouraged to go through the POCR training (Peer Online Course Review) to help review courses aligning to the OEI Rubric for the CTE Grant. There are limited stipends to train $500 and to review courses. Level 1 earns $400 and Level 2 $200. Contact Jodi Senk for more information. Jsenk@riohondo.edu

F. The Online Teaching conference to be held in Pasadena, June 17-19, 2020 has cancelled and is offering refunds on the registration and the cost of the hotel if booked at the Hyatt or Sheraton. The conference will be held online.

Open Educational Resources: no report

Staff Development/Flex:

-FLEX Deadline has been extended to May 15th

-The office continues to update a “one stop” location to view on and off campus professional learning opportunities via the online Staff Development calendar.

-Coordinating with Distance Education to enable faculty to earn $300.00 stipends for completion of Online Teaching Certification or “re-certification” if completed prior to 2015. Over 150 faculty have so far applied and over 50 have completed certification as of 5/1. Opportunities should continue to be funded through summer.

-Weekly “Reflection Forum: Voices from the Deep River” with 80 participants from across the campus has launched and is in its 4th week.

-In conjunction with Student Equity, offered “Equitable Grading Policies during a Pandemic: A Collegial Conversation” webinar on May 1st.

-Discussions on focus of fall FLEX Day continue. The working theme is “Staying on the Path in a Crisis: Supporting Students, Supporting Each Other.” It is being recommended that plans be made for online delivery.

Challenges:

It is unclear what the status of the Staff Development/FLEX office will be in terms of Coordination after the spring 2020 semester.
Outcomes:
Training sessions for navigating Taskstream are going well and we have made great progress in completing close-the-loop assessment reports as well as course-level outcomes timelines. We will have the final inventory by the end of the month.

The Outcomes Committee is sending forward to Senate several recommendations to address gaps identified by IRP. Having prioritized areas of improvement in preparation for the upcoming accreditation visit, some of these recommendations will be adopted in the spring and summer and others will be implemented beginning fall 2020.

Institutional Effectiveness:
1) IEC made an additional revision to the Mission Statement Draft B after considering feedback from a few faculty senators, ASHRC, and another faculty member. IEC sent the revised version to PFC 4/28/20 for consideration.

2) IEC members received the Educational Master Plan Draft today. IEC members will forward the draft to their constituents and should bring back any feedback to IRP Dean Caroline Durella, Sarah Cote, or Abbie Perry within a week. One of the more substantial changes to the plan is the new Integrated Planning Model. * The EMP should be finalized by the end of term and implemented in the fall.

3) IEC also reviewed and discussed the Resource Allocation Rankings for 2020-21. The recommendation was sent to PFC 4/28/2020.

4) The IEC’s next meeting (2nd Tuesday of May) is our last meeting of the academic year.

Program Review: On hiatus until Fall

Safety: No report

Policy and Procedure Council: No report

Basic Skills: No report

Bookstore/Copy Room: No report

Enterprise Systems Advisory: No report

Online Education Initiative: No report
Student Equity:
At the April 22, 2020 Student Equity Committee meeting, the following items were reviewed and discussed:

- Equity Principles: The SE Committee is presently undertaking the task of finalizing a set of Equity Principles and Guided Questions that can be used by all constituency groups as they assess and/or develop policies and practices. Once the Equity Principles are in their final draft form, they will be introduced to Academic Senate and PFC for review, feedback, and adoption.

- Dr. Frank Harris III is scheduled to lead a webinar this Friday, April 24th from 11:30-1:00P. It is titled An Introduction to Equity, Equity-Mindedness, and Institutional Responsibility for Student Success. The invitation was extended to all members of RHC.

Student Success and Support Program (SSSP): No report
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