



INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND PLANNING

Governance Committee Survey Results: Planning Fiscal Council

The purpose of this report is to examine the results of the governance committee survey which was completed by eleven members of the Planning Fiscal Council between February 12th and February 24th, 2019 via SurveyMonkey.

Question 1: The committee charge accurately reflects the work of the committee.		
	Count	Percentage
No response	2	18.2%
Strongly Disagree	2	18.2%
Disagree	1	9.1%
Neither Agree/Disagree	3	27.3%
Agree	3	27.3%
Total	11	100.0%

Question 2: Staff support/preparation was adequate to meet your committee needs.		
	Count	Percentage
No response	2	18.2%
Agree	7	63.6%
Strongly Agree	2	18.2%
Total	9	100.0%



INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND PLANNING

Question 3: Meetings were conducted in a satisfactory manner.		
	Count	Percentage
No response	2	18.2%
Disagree	1	11.1%
Neither Agree/Disagree	4	36.4%
Agree	4	36.4%
Total	11	100.0%

Question 4: The committee accomplished all of its goals and objectives.		
	Count	Percentage
No response	2	18.2%
Strongly Disagree	1	11.1%
Disagree	4	36.4%
Neither Agree/Disagree	3	27.3%
Agree	1	9.1%
Total	11	100.0%

Question 5: What remains to be accomplished? What follow-up is needed?
It is not clear that the decisions of this group actually impact college-level decision-making.
N/A
PFC needs "to make recommendations to the Superintendent/President regarding the development, planning, and budgetary needs of the College."
The Administration needs to provide more information to PFC and there needs to be greater emphasis on long range planning
We need to focus on financial allocation looking more at where the money goes in addition to amending board policies and academic procedures

Completed by: Jessica Perea, 2/26/19

Data Source: SurveyMonkey



INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND PLANNING

X

Question 6: Committee decisions effectively fed into the College's Strategic Planning process.		
	Count	Percentage
No response	2	18.2%
Strongly Disagree	2	18.2%
Disagree	3	27.3%
Neither Agree/Disagree	1	9.1%
Agree	3	27.3%
Total	11	100.0%

Question 7: Good working relationships between your committee and other committees were established/continued.		
	Count	Percentage
No response	2	18.2%
Neither Agree/Disagree	3	27.3%
Agree	5	45.5%
Strongly Agree	1	9.1%
Total	11	100.0%



INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND PLANNING

Question 8: Your committee's recommendations were incorporated into College decisions.		
	Count	Percentage
No response	2	18.2%
Strongly disagree	2	18.2%
Disagree	3	27.3%
Neither Agree/Disagree	3	27.3%
Agree	1	9.1%
Total	11	100.0%

Question 9: What were the committee's strengths?
A clear strength is that everyone is treated as equals - as is appropriate for this sort of committee - and its emphasis on consensus.
Collegial relationships and value of cross-representation has begun to shift to allow all voices to be heard.
Diverse campus representation. Thoughtful and deliberate decision making.
N/A
Representation from all constituency groups
The members' combined, experience, knowledge, talent, and passion. Markelle does a great job of keeping the paperwork current and the members on track.
Wide diverse cross section of diverse voices across campus



INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND PLANNING

Question 10: What were the committee's weaknesses?
Although its name is about planning and fiscal, it is not clear that this committee impacts anything.
Lack of understanding of our charge, and lack of decision making; being only a recommending body
Laura and Michelle are talented and conscientious people, but PFC has to be the biggest waste of resources on campus. To take so many of the college's best and brightest people and have them spend their time updating BPs & APs and listening to reports is a shame. What can't we make recommendations to the President regarding planning and fiscal topics?
N/A
PFC is not provided adequate financial information to properly plan for the future.
Still needing a focus on fiscal matters and more collegial consultation on planning overall
Time not well spent in meetings

Question 11: How are identified weaknesses used to make needed improvements within your committee and college decision-making structures?
It helps to understand where the committee is in the process, to maximize our ability to make desired changes
Michelle and Laura initiated some conversation early in the year about goals for PFC and ways to improve. That didn't seem to go very far, but I don't know how much power they have to lead PFC forward.
N/A
They are not
This remains to be seen.
Weaknesses have not been identified in a formal manner
x



INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND PLANNING

Question 12: What do you think should be done differently in the following year?
A clearer understanding of our charge and a desire to see actionable change
Allow PFC to pursue its charge. For example, let the Planning and Fiscal Council review the budget and make recommendations before approval.
I have responded N/A to all answers because while I can send in reports to the PFC, I have not attended in over 2 years.
Making sure that those denoted in the Organizational Structure and Governance Manual are invited to participate on the committee and in the meetings.
More alignment with other committees' work to bring all planning and fiscal goals together for a way to measure overall success of PFC
The PFC's recommendations - and how they are handled - should be transparent. In general, we lack clear decision making processes - and transparency.
The President's office needs to provide more financial data to the committee so the committee can adequately plan the College's future.
x