Following the annual program review cycle and based on the peer review, each document will be given one of four status conditions: accepted as submitted, accepted with recommended revision, some revision required (due before the spring planning retreat), or significant revision required (due by the program plan due date the following fall). Suggestions for improvement will be based on the program peer review and detailed in the Executive Summary. Programs requiring significant revision should work with the program review co-chairs towards improvement; their subsequent program plans will be peer-reviewed following year.

**Accepted as Submitted:** No changes suggested or required.

**Accepted with Suggested Revision:** Suggested recommendations may be implemented as part of the response to the Executive Summary or may be implemented the following year.

**Revision Required:** This indicates the document needs surface-level attention, such as reorganization, elaboration, and/or stylistic attention. The document is basically sound. Revision will be submitted before the Institutional Planning Retreat in the spring. The Program Review co-chairs will review the re-submitted document and either accept the revision or suggest further revision.

**Significant Revision Required:** This indicates the document and/or the program needs serious reconsideration. This takes time and should include all participants in the program. Major revisions will be submitted by the program plan deadline the following year. The document will be peer-reviewed. If necessary, the program will be asked to undergo another formal program review the following year to ensure improvement. This second formal program review will not supplant the regular and established six-year program review cycle.